Hamilton County Board of Education

Evaluation Model for the Director of Hamilton County Schools

Tennessee law requires the Hamilton County Board of Education to assign to the Director of Schools the day-to-day responsibility of operating the system within the approved budget and consistent with law and policy. Consequently, the selection and evaluation of the Director is one of the most important functions the Board of Education performs.

In order to fulfill its statutory obligations, and so the Director may have clear guidance regarding the Board's evaluation of his/her performance, the Board of Education, in consultation with the Director, has developed this Evaluation Model for the 2025-2026 school year. The foundation of this Evaluation Model is the Board's Strategic Plan, including corresponding annual goals; the laws of the State of Tennessee; and the Board's contract with the Director.

This Model will consist of three parts:

- The Director's self-evaluation.
 - The Director will evaluate his/her own performance as well as the performance of the system using the criteria set forth below.
 - The Director will submit his/her self-evaluation to the Chair of the Board within 30 days of receipt of the State's annual assessment data of Hamilton County Schools.
- The Board's evaluation of the Director's performance.
 - The Board will evaluate the Director and the system using the criteria set forth below, the Director's self-evaluation, and the State's assessment data.
 - Each Board member will complete his or her evaluation within 30 days of receipt of the Director's self-evaluation and submit it to the Board secretary and the Board attorney.
- The Director's written response to the Board's evaluation.

The Director and the Board will use the following Rating Scale:

- 1 = Significantly below expectations
- 2 = Below expectations
- 3 = At expectations
- 4 = Above expectations
- 5 = Significantly above expectations

Strategic Planning Rubric

| Strategic Flamming Rubric | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Sources of Evidence | Level 1: Significantly Below Expectations | Level 2: Below Expectations | Level 3: At Expectations | Level 4: Above Expectations | Level 5: Significantly Above Expectations | |
| Agendas from district leadership and principal professional development. District and school level goals for professional development related to student achievement, gap closure, college-career readiness, and growth. District and School Improvement Plans that operationalize Opportunity 2030 | Builds limited or no capacity for: Developing administrators' understanding of state adopted standards and instructional practice. Demonstrating fidelity to state and district approved standards and curriculum. Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources. Establishing a system for monitoring student achievement. Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to build administrator capacity. | Exceeds Level 1 rubric but does not meet Level 3 rubric. | Builds capacity among administrators for: • Developing an accurate understanding of state adopted standards and instructional practice. • Demonstrating fidelity to state and district approved standards and curriculum. • Studying, analyzing, and evaluating approved curriculum resources and instructional programs. • Establishing a system for monitoring student achievement. • Establishing collective accountability when making needed adjustments to improve instruction. | Exceeds Level 3 rubric but does not meet Level 5 rubric. | In addition to Level 3 descriptors: Communicates Opportunity 2030 to all staff. Ensures the Board's vision, mission, and goals shape the educational programs, plans, and activities. Actively monitors, evaluates, advises, and regularly updates the Board on Opportunity 2030 | |

| Strategic Planning | Score | Comments |
|--|-------|---|
| Develop and implement Opportunity 2030 in collaboration with the school board to address key performance indicators on a yearly basis. | 5 | Dr.Robertson works hard to ensure that he communicates out to the board, staff, and community what Opportunity 2030 is and how the work that the district is doing ties to this plan. As he and his team report out to us month, as a board member I am able to see the alignment, evaluation, pivots etc, that are being made to the work to ensure alignment. |
| Initiates communication and facilitates cooperation and collaboration among staff regarding Opportunity 2030. | 4 | |
| Keeps the Board and community informed of progress towards goals set in Opportunity 2030. | 4 | |
| Ensures the vision shapes the educational programs, plans, and activities of the district. | 4 | |
| Uses assessment data related to student learning to effectuate the Board's strategic plan. | 4 | |
| Leads the Board in recasting or reframing the vision as necessary based upon available data. | 4 | |

25

Student Assessment/Performance Rubric

| Sources of | Level 1: Significantly | Level 2: | | Level 4: Above | Level 5: Significantly | |
|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Below Expectations | Below | Level 3: At Expectations | Expectations | Above Expectations | |
| Evidence | | Expectations | | | | |
| Sample of school and district improvement plans with strategies. District and school level TVAAS scores. Data summary of all district and school level accountability metrics. Performance and monitoring data of district wide subgroups. District plan for monitoring school improvement plans and student academic performance. Review of district | Shows limited or no use of: • Multiple student, educator, school-wide, and district-wide data. • Specific data when analyzing and tracking student progress. • Academic and behavioral growth goals. • Expectations for adjusting instructional programs based on data. • Shared accountability for decisions targeting student achievement and growth goals. • No observable alignment between interventions and student achievement. | | Collaborates with administrators to: Use multiple sources of student, educator, school and district-wide data. Determine specific data to analyze when tracking student progress. Establish specific strategies to meet or exceed academic and behavioral growth goals. Communicate expectations for adjusting instruction programs in response to assessment data. Establish shared accountability for instructional decisions targeting student achievement and growth goals. | Exceeds Level 3 rubric but does not meet Level 5 rubric. | Ensures administrators analyze and use multiple sources of student, educator, school and district-wide data. Develops and monitors a district-wide data plan that includes: student progress tracking; strategies to meet or exceed growth and achievement goals; benchmark data; and data based changes to the instructional program. Shared accountability for instructional decisions targeting achievement and growth goals. | |
| report card. | Planning that addresses academic growth goals. | | goais. | | Establishes data-specific growth and achievement | |
| | | | | | targets that result in gains | |

| Student Achievement/Performance | Score | Comments |
|--|-------|---|
| District Wide Value-Added will meet or exceed the State expectations each year. | 3 | meets state expectations, does not exceed |
| The percentage of students scoring below the 25 th percentile will decrease by 2% each year. | 3 | |
| Collaborates with administrators to analyze and use multiple forms of data throughout the year to establish specific goals and strategies targeting student achievement and growth. | 4 | |
| Develops, monitors, and assesses district and school improvement plans, including the regular review and analysis of district test scores by school and sub-groups. | 4 | |
| Leads administrators to develop and execute interventions that address all student learning needs based on multiple sources of data. | 4 | |
| Applies and communicates qualitative and quantitative findings to identify strengths and weaknesses in programs and practices in order to ensure continuous improvement. | 3 | |
| Establishes systems for principals to regularly receive feedback on goal achievement and to assess ongoing school improvement efforts. | 5 | |
| Encourages various staffing patterns, student grouping plans, class scheduling plans, school organizational structures, and facilities design processes to support various teaching strategies and desired student outcomes. | 4 | |
| Increase Graduation Rate with a goal of reaching 90% within the next 4 years. | 5 | Grad rate was 94% |

School Leadership Rubric

| School Leadership | Score | Comments |
|--|-------|----------|
| Develop competent leadership at the district level and school level through intentional leadership pipelines. | 4 | |
| Leverages administrators' strengths to engage all students in meaningful, relevant learning opportunities while ensuring employees are accountable for job responsibilities. | 4 | |
| Knowledgeable about the curriculum and establishes clear expectations for administrators. | 5 | |
| Supports professional learning activities for teachers and administrators. | 5 | |
| Uses administrator evaluation data to inform, assess, and adjust professional learning, goals, and plans. | 4 | |
| Demonstrates use of system and staff evaluation data for personnel policies, decision-making, promotion of career growth, and professional development. | 4 | |
| Offers professional development that is focused on student learning consistent with the school district's vision, mission, and goals. | 4 | |

Community Relationship Rubric

| Community Relationship Rubite | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Sources of | Level 1: Significantly | Level 2: | | Level 4: Above | Level 5: Significantly | | |
| | Below Expectations | Below | Level 3: At Expectations | Expectations | Above Expectations | | |
| Evidence | | Expectations | | | | | |
| Examples of school district level website, newsletters, and surveys if available. Examples of meeting agendas and schedules for parental involvement and community engagement. Samples of school partnerships and partnership activities. | Shows limited or no evidence of: • Welcoming and engaging families. • Offering timely, relevant, and accessible communication. • Providing district staff with sufficient resources needed to communicate regularly with families. • Creating flexible scheduling for meetings, gatherings, and celebrations in response | • Exceeds Level 1 rubric but does not meet Level 3 rubric. | Welcomes and engages all families. Offers opportunities for families to participate in school initiatives when applicable. Provides district staff with sufficient resources needed to communicate regularly with families. Creates flexible scheduling for meetings, gatherings, and celebrations in response to parent needs. Conducts an accurate | Exceeds Level 3 rubric but does not meet Level 5 rubric. | In addition to Level 3 requirements: Establishes a two-way communication process for families that provides information about student progress and learning. Supports family and community partnerships that are visible and sustainable. Assesses potential community partners and secures additional | | |
| Samples of meetings and conversations with community partners and educators. Donations and contributions to each school or the district. | to parent needs. Assessing community partners and resources. Allocating fiscal, human, technological, and physical resources or allocates these with misalignment to the Board's vision, mission, and goals. | | assessment of community partners and resources. Ensures accepted resources support the Board's vision, mission, and goals. Allocates fiscal, human, technological, and physical resources to align with the Board's vision, mission, and goals. | | resources that support the district. Highlights usage of resources and shares district accomplishments by regularly communicating with community partners. | | |

| Community Relationships | Score | Comments |
|--|-------|----------|
| Identifies multiple points of view for problem solving situations and involves stakeholders in decisions affecting the district. | 5 | |
| Strategically utilizes community resources and established partnerships to support the Board's vision, mission, and goals. | 5 | |

| | Λ | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| ۰ | v | |

Business and Finance Rubric

| | J | Dusiness an | u Finance Rubite | | |
|--|--|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Sources of | Level 1: Significantly Below Expectations | Level 2: Below | Level 3: At Expectations | Level 4: Above Expectations | Level 5: Significantly Above Expectations |
| Evidence | Delow Expectations | Expectations | Level 3. At Expectations | Expectations | Above Expectations |
| Samples of | • Donaly on mayon | • Exceeds | Establishes. | Exceeds Level 3 | In addition to Level 3 |
| compliance with all | Rarely or never establishes. | Level 1 | communicates, and | rubric but does | descriptors: |
| financial audits | communicates, and | rubric but | enforces administrative | not meet Level 5 | Leads administrators |
| including local, | enforces administrative | does not | procedures by: | rubric. | and staff in frequent |
| state, and federal. | procedures by: | meet Level 3 | Aligning them with | Tublic. | reviews of |
| Provide samples of | Aligning them with | rubric. | Board policy, state law, | | administrative |
| both student and | Board policy, state law, | Tublic. | and federal | | procedures to vet |
| employee | and federal | | requirements. | | effectiveness and |
| handbooks. | requirements. | | Communicating | | ensure the effective |
| Provide samples of | o Communicating | | established | | and efficient operation |
| meeting with | established | | administrative | | of the school district. |
| certified and | administrative | | procedures. | | Leads administrators |
| noncertified staff on | procedures. | | Ensuring administrators | | in frequent reviews of |
| compliance with all | o Ensuring | | are accountable to the | | fiscal resource |
| Board policies and | administrators are | | administrative | | allocation to support |
| operating | accountable to the | | procedures. | | the effective and |
| procedures. | administrative | | Performs timely, accurate, | | efficient operation of |
| Provide sample | procedures. | | and transparent budgetary | | the district. |
| administrative | Rarely or never performs | | responsibilities by: | | |
| procedures aligned | all budgetary | | Allocating resources in | | |
| with Board policy, | responsibilities by: | | alignment with district | | |
| state law, and | Allocating resources in | | priorities to increase | | |
| federal | alignment with district | | student achievement. | | |
| requirements. | priorities to increase | | Ensuring that delegated | | |
| Board work session | student achievement. | | budgetary responsibilities | | |
| reports and agenda | Ensuring that delegated | | are performed within all | | |
| documents showing | budgetary responsibilities | | appropriate district, state, | | |
| budgeting aligned | are performed within all | | and federal guidelines. | | |
| with priorities. | appropriate district, state, | | | | |
| - | and federal guidelines. | | | | |

| Business and Finance | Score | Comments |
|---|-------|--|
| Budget will be presented on time and in a transparent manner to both the board and community | 4 | Budget was presented on time initially as well as each revision thereafter. |
| Steps will be articulated and implemented to ensure school leaders are resourced to address unique needs of every school. | 4 | |
| Demonstrates budget management including financial forecasting, planning, cashflow management, account auditing, and monitoring and oversees the district's fiduciary responsibilities. | 4 | |
| Provides accurate and timely reports to the Board on the financial condition of the school system. | 4 | |
| Meets and works collaboratively with the Board and appropriate staff to determine budget priorities, including alignment with strategy and the effective allocation of resources. | 4 | Dr. Robertson was present at each budget committee meeting aand ensured that appropriate district staff was present alongside him to answer any questions or provide supporting info. He was always willing to come back with revisions that included the edits or additions that were the pleasure of the board. He also clarified the ask of the board to be sure that he was meeting the ask. |

Board Relationship Rubric

| Board Relationship Rubric | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Sources of Evidence | Level 1: Significantly Below | Level 2: Below Expectations | Level 3: At Expectations | Level 4: Above Expectations | Level 5: Significantly Above Expectations | |
| | | Exceeds Level 1 rubric but does not meet Level 3 rubric. | Uses feedback from the Board to implement personal and professional improvement strategies. Prepared and engaged with the Board and professional learning opportunities. Engages in the evaluation process with evidence of focus on improvement. Contributes to the school district by assisting others, including at least two of the following. Collaborative planning with administrators and staff to execute Board policies and decisions. Actively participating in professional learning. | | | |
| | administrators. | | Building capacity for aspiring administrators. | | | |

| Board Relationship | Score | Comments |
|--|-------|----------|
| Keeps board members informed on issues, needs, and operation of the school system. | 4 | |
| Follows through on initiatives and strategies communicated to the Board of Education | 4 | |
| Seeks and accepts constructive criticism of work from the Board | 3 | |
| Interprets and executes the intent of Board policy through the development of administrative procedures | 3 | |
| Maintains/improves relations with the Board by consistent and appropriate interpersonal/professional interactions while making appropriate recommendations based on thorough analysis and study. | 4 | |

Staff and Personnel Relationship Rubric

| Staff and Personnel Relationship Rubric | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sources of | Level 1: | Level 2: | Level 3: At | Level 4: Above | Level 5: Significantly | |
| Evidence | Significantly Below | Below | Expectations | Expectations | Above Expectations | |
| | Expectations | Expectations | | | | |
| Samples of district level plan for recruiting both professional and noncertified staff. Samples of school level data regarding induction, support, retention, and growth of staff. Samples of school level plans to increase the rates of highperforming educators and increase retention rates. | Rarely or never inducts, supports, retains, and grows administrators by designing and implementing an induction program for new administrators and staff. Rarely or never develops strategies for: Retaining highperforming educators and administrators. Fostering leadership skills in the most effective educators and administrators based on student outcomes. Utilizing a variety of methods to support the development of administrators. | • Exceeds Level 1 rubric but does not meet Level 3 rubric. | Designs and implements an induction program for new administrators and staff. Develops strategies for: Retaining high-performing educators. Fostering leadership skills in the most effective educators and administrators based on student outcomes. Utilizing a variety of methods to support the development of all administrators and staff. | Exceeds Level Tubric but does not meet Level 5 rubric. | Engages with the district leadership team to: Design and implement an induction program for new educators. Develop strategies for retaining high-performing educators. Develop strategies for fostering leadership skills in the most effective educators and administrators based on student outcomes. Supports the development of all administrators utilizing a variety of methods. | |

| Staff and Personnel Relationships | Score | Comments |
|---|-------|-------------------------|
| 95% of Certified Positions will be staffed by the 10 th day of each year. | 5 | 99% staffed by 10th day |
| 90% of new teachers will be retained each year. | 3 | Met expectation |
| Employee retention rates will exceed 85% each school year. | 4 | |
| Collaborates with administrators to induct, support, retain, and grow effective educators based on evidence of student outcomes. | 4 | |
| Develops appropriate structures to address staff morale based on district analysis. | 4 | |
| Provides shared leadership and decision-making opportunities for staff that promotes a climate of collaboration and collegiality. | 3 | |
| Identifies and applies appropriate policies, criteria and processes for the recruitment, selection, induction, compensation, and separation of personnel. | 4 | |
| Evaluates the organizational structure of the district and reorganizes as necessary to achieve maximum effectiveness. | 4 | |

| 31 | |
|----|--|
| | |

Integrity, Fairness, and Ethics Rubric

| integrity, ranness, and Etines Kubric | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Sources of Evidence | Level 1: Significantly Below Expectations | Level 2: Below Expectations | Level 3: At Expectations | Level 4: Above Expectations | Level 5: Significantly Above Expectations | |
| Provide samples of meetings and engagement with various stakeholders throughout the community. Examples of meeting with teachers, staff, student groups, etc. outside of formal observations or staff meetings. Examples of procedures that relate to communication plan for both certified and noncertified staff. | Does not engage or limits engagement of stakeholder groups and does not seek input or feedback on district improvement. Does not communicate expected values and beliefs to all staff. Does not design or implement structures that increase shared ownership in district success. Fails to establish high expectations for administrators' individual responsibility for district success. | Exceeds Level 1 rubric but does not meet Level 3 rubric. | Develops structures to encourage all stakeholders to provide input and feedback in district improvement decisions. Communicates basic values and beliefs to all staff. Models a commitment to the possibility of success for all students. Designs and/or implements structures to increase shared ownership in district success. Clearly and consistently communicates high expectations for administrators' individual responsibility for district success. | • Exceeds Level 3 rubric but does not meet Level 5 rubric. | Develops capacity of administrators to implement structures for engaging all stakeholders to provide input and feedback in district improvement decisions. Clearly communicates basic values and beliefs to all staff. Enacts procedures that reflect a district-wide commitment to student success. Clearly and consistently communicates administrators' individua responsibility for district success. Establishes a culture where all administrators address low expectations about student potential. | |

| Integrity, Fairness, and Ethics | Score | Comments |
|--|-------|----------|
| Includes a varied set of administrators, educators, and stakeholders in district and school improvement decisions. | 4 | |
| Models and communicates expectations for individual and shared ownership of student, educator, school, and district success. | 4 | |
| Expects and emphasizes that all staff demonstrate integrity and exercise ethical behavior throughout the district. | 4 | |
| Treats people fairly, equally, and with dignity and respect. | 4 | |

Facilities and Safety Rubric

| Sources of Evidence | Level 1: | Level 2: | Level 3: At | Level 4: Above | Level 5: Significantly | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Significantly | Below | Expectations | Expectations | Above Expectations | |
| | Below | Expectations | | | | |
| | Expectations | | | | | |
| Examples of school safety plans and/or school climate surveys. Annual school level reporting of attendance rates and discipline referrals, including suspension and expulsion rates. School district/county audits. Examples of administrative procedures addressing finance and facilities. | Expectations for resource allocation are not clearly aligned with the school district vision, mission, and goals. District policies are not: | • Exceeds Level 1 rubric but does not meet Level 3 rubric. | Expectations for resource allocation are aligned with school district vision, mission, and goals. District policies are: | • Exceeds Level 3 rubric but does not meet Level 5 rubric. | In addition to Level 3 requirements ensures administrators: • Help set expectations for the learning environment and facilities that aligned with the school district vision, mission, and goals. • Review behavioral and discipline data to assess the effectiveness of interventions, adjusting when needed to ensure a safe learning environment. | |

| Facilities/Safety | Score | Comments |
|---|-------|----------|
| Fosters a safe, respectful, and orderly learning environment for all. | 4 | |
| Demonstrates knowledge of school facilities and develops a process that builds public support for facility needs, including capital improvements. | 4 | |
| Ensures the maintenance of school property and the safety of personnel and property. | 3 | |

District Management Rubric

| District Management Rubric | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sources of Evidence | Level 1: | Level 2: | Level 3: At | Level 4: Above | Level 5: Significantly | | | | | |
| | Significantly | Below | Expectations | Expectations | Above Expectations | | | | | |
| | Below | Expectations | • | 1 | * | | | | | |
| | Expectations | F | | | | | | | | |
| Director of Schools self-evaluation. District's instructional and student supports plan along with professional development plans. Summary as to what degree the district and schools met previous year's performance targets. Artifacts of the district's performance aligned to state standards and accountability model. Nature, extent, and frequency of concerns voiced by the Board regarding management level personnel | Rarely or never improves self-practice by: Using feedback from sources to reflect on personal leadership practices and does not make any necessary changes for improvement. Engaging in professional learning: Aligned to student, educator, and self-need. Focused on developing an understanding of performance expectations associated with state standards. Implementing new, relevant learning from feedback and professional learning opportunities | • Exceeds Level 1 rubric but does not meet Level 3 rubric. | Uses feedback from a variety of sources to reflect on personal leadership practices and make any necessary changes for improvement. Engages in professional learning aligned to student, educator, and self-need. Develops an understanding of performance expectations associated with Tennessee Instructional Leader Standards. Implements new, relevant learning from feedback and professional learning opportunities with evidence of improvement. | • Exceeds Level 3 rubric but does not meet Level 5 rubric. | In addition to Level 3 descriptors: Actively seeks feedback from a variety of sources to reflect on personal leadership practices and makes any necessary changes for improvement. Connects personal leadership practices to student achievement and administrator and educator performance by sharing his/her performance evaluation results with district staff. Reflects on leadership alignment with core value and the Board's vision, mission, and goals. | | | | | |

| District Management | Score | Comments |
|--|-------|----------|
| Ensures operational plans and procedures to achieve the vision, mission, and goals are in place. | 4 | |
| Identifies, confronts, and resolves problems in a timely manner. | 4 | |
| Recognizes, studies, and applies emerging trends as appropriate. | 4 | |
| Uses effective communication skills. | 4 | |
| Guides and directs upper-level district management in the performance of their job duties, accepts constructive criticism from the Board regarding same, and takes responsibility where appropriate. | 4 | |

Director of Schools Overall Evaluation Score

| Total Mean Score Strategic Planning | 4.1 |
|---|-----|
| Total Mean Score Student Achievement/Performance | 3.8 |
| Total Mean Score School Leadership | 4.2 |
| Total Mean Score Community Relationships | 5.0 |
| Total Mean Score Post-Secondary Opportunities | |
| Total Mean Score Business and Finance | 4.0 |
| Total Mean Score Board Relationship | 3.6 |
| Total Mean Score Staff and Personnel Relationships | 3.8 |
| Total Mean Score Integrity, Fairness, and Ethics | 4.0 |
| Total Mean Score Facilities/Safety | 3.6 |
| Total Mean Score District Management | 4.0 |
| | |
| | |
| Overall Mean Evaluation Score | 4.0 |

Date

| Karitsa M. Jones | |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Print Name | |
| itsa M. Jones | November 24, 2025 |